1. Self-assessment of the long term financial stability of member databases

2. Conduct a detailed analysis and modeling of sustainability solutions for representative AgBioData member databases.

3. Develop a roadmap for Genomic, Genetic and Breeding (GGB) Database sustainability to ensure data persistence and resource longevity (Years 2, 3, 4)
Self-assessment of the long term financial stability of member databases

Goals:

- Gather data through written surveys and interviews with staff at all member databases.
- Capture cost of operations, staff level, sources of funding, usage level, data types, species and strains, stakeholders served and anticipated future needs.
- Collect information on each GGB Database’s view of its sustainability and approaches to improve that sustainability.
- Understand the current funding situation and the anticipated future needs.
PI surveys

AgBioData databases

AgBioData database PIs
Goal 1: Identify stakeholders
Stakeholder surveys

Identifying all stakeholder groups.

Recognizing the value that the database represents to stakeholders.

Understanding stakeholder attitudes toward sustainability models.
Stakeholders of AgBioData resources

PI survey results
Goal 2: Urgency of action
Current level of funding security

PI survey results
Anticipated change in expenses over the next 3 years

PI survey results

Urgency of action

Anticipated change in expenses over the next three years
PI survey results

What happens to your data if your database disappears?

- **External repository**: 40.0%
- **Local/Cloud Backup**: 15.0%
- **Data/tools lost**: 15.0%
- **Dissappear entirely**: 5.0%
- **External source**: 25.0%

Urgency of action

Data persistence
Goal 3: Database-specific facts/stats
What more do we need?

- **Database size**
  - How much resources are needed to hold the data?
  - How can the data be organized/distributed more efficiently?
  - What opportunities are there to consolidate the data between databases?

- **Rate of data growth**
  - How much resource allocation would be needed to sustain the current rate of data growth?

- **Data content**
  - What are the types of datasets within each database?
  - What, if any, are opportunities for shared data content between databases?
  - What are new datasets/types that would add value to the database?
  - How much of your data is public?
  - How much of it is curated in-house?
  - How much of the data is imported from other resources?
Number of years active

- Less than 10 years: 8
- 11 to 20 years: 8
- More than 20 years: 3

Number of AgBioData databases (n=19)
PI survey results

Database-specific facts/stats

Frequency of data updates

![Bar chart showing frequency of data update]

- Annually: 4 databases
- Less than Annually: 2 databases
- Semi-Annually: 3 databases
- Quarterly: 2 databases
- Monthly: 4 databases
- Weekly: 1 database
- Daily: 3 databases

Number of databases (n=19)
Goal 4: Cost-sharing strategies
Steps to reduce expenses

Cost-sharing strategies

PI survey results

Cost-cutting measures undertaken

- Open-source software: 10
- Shared computing resources: 9
- Shared data processing, pipelines and scripts: 8
- Shared teams: 6

Number of AgBioData databases
Data shared with other databases

PI survey results

Cost-sharing strategies

Data shared with other databases

Graph showing the number of AgBioData databases shared with other databases:
- Genome/Species specific databases: 8
- General databases (e.g., Ensembl): 6

Number of AgBioData databases (n=14)
Goal 5: User experience
Acceptable sustainability models
PI survey results

Usage capture mechanism

User experience

Databases with Usage capture mechanism

Yes 15
No 1

Number of AgBioData databases
PI survey results

Do you hold private data for users (e.g. in a dedicated workspace?)

Yes: 8
No: 8
NA: 2

Number of databases
What does the survey tell us?

- Never too early to plan for a sustainable future. 50:50 split in level of funding security. So it’s never too early to look into sustainable options.
- For a majority of databases the curated part of their data will no longer be available if the database disappeared completely. So sustainability solutions need to be considered with curated datasets in mind. Curated datasets are a great add in value to your database. So the more curated datasets you can add to your databases the better.
Recommendations

- **Mechanism to capture usage statistics**: If your database does not have a usage capture mechanism, implement one.
- **Have an authentication system**: This allows users to store private data, workflows, and analysis. Shared authentication system.
- **Database participation is key.**
  - We need databases to be more responsive to our surveys.
  - The more data we have the more we can develop a solution that works for all AgBIOData databases as a group. Model depends on data.
  - If you prefer one on one conversations like an interview style, we will work with you on that.
● **User surveys**
  ○ Users: academic and commercial
  ○ Do you have any user surveys completed in the last 2 years?
    ■ Important to understand if the user mindset has changed.

● **Use Cases**
  ○ How do stakeholders utilize the data/tools within the database?
  ○ Where are opportunities for shared use cases?

● **Surveys of other stakeholders including:**
  ○ Employees
  ○ Crop commissions
  ○ Funding agencies

● Where are untapped opportunities for the data/tools within the databases?
1. Funding models:
   a. Voluntary membership
   b. Data deposit fees
   c. Subscriptions
   d. Freemium models
   e. Donations

1. Cost sharing:
   b. Shared curation or software teams
   c. Community Curation
   d. Shared infrastructure
Goals of the Sustainability Working Group

1. **New funding sources.**
   a. Assess the viability of various sustainability models
   b. Recommend sustainability models for AgBioData databases, either as a consortium or for individual databases.

2. **Cost-saving measures.**
   a. Determine effective strategies for cost sharing including sharing data storage, FTEs, Tools etc.
   b. Evaluate the idea of a centralized approach to resource management benefitting all member databases.

3. **Policy or procedure changes related to grant funding.**
   a. Increase the ability of databases to obtain a share of research funding that supports data generation.
   b. Examine the role that a central coordinating entity could play in assisting with sustainability.
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